In the United States Supreme Court.

OCTOBER TERM, 1904.

NO. 196.

J. B. CARO, J. C. KEYSER, ET AL,
Plaintiffs in Error,

vs.

W. H. DAVIDSON, W. E. ANDERSON, ET AL,
Defendants in Error.

The defendants in error move the Court to dismiss this Writ of Error for want of jurisdiction on the grounds:

1. That the record does not show that there was drawn in question in the Supreme Court of Florida, the validity of a treaty or statute of, or authority exercised under, the United States
2. Or, that that Court decided said question.
3. Or, decided the same against the validity of such treaty, statute or authority.
4. That the record does not show that there was in this cause in the said Court drawn in question any statute of, or authority exercised under any State on the ground of there being repugnant to the constitution, laws or treaties of the United States.
5. Or, that that Court determined such questions.
6. Or, determined the same in favor of the validity of such statute or authority.
7. That the record does not show that the defendants in error claimed in said Court, any right, title, privilege or immunity under the Constitution, or any treaty or statute of, or commission held or authority exercised under the United States.
8. Or, that the plaintiffs in error specially set up or claimed such title, right, privilege or immunity, or commission held, or authority exercised.
9. Or that the said Court decided such claim.

10. Or decided it against the title, right, privilege, immunity, commission or authority so claimed.

11. That the record does not show the presentation to the Supreme Court of Florida, or the decision by it of any Federal question.

12. That the record does not show that this Court has jurisdiction to review the judgment of the Supreme Court of Florida, from which this writ of error is prosecuted.

WM. A. BLOUNT,
A. C. BLOUNT, JR.,
Attorneys for Defendants in Error.